

# Research Agenda: Valuing Labor in Digital Libraries

*August 2018*

A collaborative project of the [DLF Working Group on Labor in Digital Libraries](#)

## CONTENTS

[Acknowledgements](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Organized Labor](#)

[Valuing Maintenance](#)

[Categories of Labor](#)

[Transitions & Relationships](#)

[Institutional Self-Assessment](#)

This work licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#) (CC BY-SA 4.0) License.



**Suggested citation:** Digital Library Federation Working Group on Labor in Digital Libraries, *Research Agenda: Valuing Labor in Digital Libraries* (2018), <https://wiki.diglib.org/Labor/Valuing-Labor/Research-Agenda>.

## Acknowledgements

Key issues represented in this document emerge from collective interests voiced by members of the [Digital Library Federation \(DLF\) Labor Working Group](#) and the broader DLF community. Ruth Kitchin Tillman, Sandy Rodriguez, Melissa Chalmers, and Amy Wickner facilitate the working group. Roxanne Shirazi proposed the development of a collective research agenda.

Members of the Valuing Labor sub-group contributed research, writing, editing, and comments:

Amy Wickner (co-facilitator)  
Karly Wildenhaus  
Hillel Arnold  
Lisa Janicke Hinchliffe

Members of the DLF community contributed comments:

Anastasia Chiu  
Aaron Collie  
Thomas Padilla  
Dorothea Salo

We acknowledge the following projects as models for process and product:

- ACRL Women and Gender Studies Section [Research Agenda – Feminism & Librarianship](#) and [Bibliography of Scholarship on Women and Gender Studies Librarianship – By Author](#)
- [Research and Learning Agenda for Archives, Special, and Distinctive Collections in Research Libraries](#) by Chela Scott Weber (OCLC Research, 2017)

Thank you especially to Bethany Nowviskie, Katherine Kim, and Becca Quon of DLF for leading and maintaining the community infrastructure through which this work takes place.

## Introduction

Recognizing labor as being undervalued, unacknowledged, and erased has long been framed as an individual concern in the field of digital libraries, archives, and museums (LAM); but organized and collective action is required to address labor conditions at structural and organizational scales. Such action requires information, from empirical evidence to testimonies to guidance and best practices. One symptom of the need for expansive research on labor in digital libraries is the fragmented way in which digital library workers come into contact with and participate in existing research on labor in adjacent domains like information studies; science, technology & society; sociology; anthropology; social work; and more (Buchanan, *et al.*, 2017).

This document lays out a research agenda for valuing labor, collaboratively developed by members of the [Digital Library Federation Working Group on Labor in Digital Libraries, Archives, and Museums \(Labor WG\)](#). We intend for research building upon this agenda to also be collaborative: by and for the DLF community.

These agenda items came together through conversations and input from members of the Labor WG and other interested participants in the field:

January – May 2017	Members of the working group briefly described their interest in the topic upon joining.	Working group facilitators synthesized these interests to identify major umbrella topics for initial subgroups.
May 2017 – February 2018	Participants in meetings of the Valuing Labor subgroup described and discussed their interests and concerns in greater depth.	Subgroup facilitator synthesized these interests and concerns into a list of major topics and urgent research questions, which this document reflects
October 2017	Participants in a panel discussion and working breakfast at the 2017 DLF Forum expressed additional interests and concerns, both in person and in <a href="#">community notes</a> .	These concerns are incorporated into the major topics through additional research questions and project ideas.
February 2018 – June 2018	Members of the working group collaboratively reviewed and edited topics, research questions, and projects.	
June 2018 – July 2018	Members of the DLF community reviewed and submitted feedback on the draft research agenda during a four-week public comment period.	
July 2018 – August 2018	Working group facilitators incorporated public comments to produce a final research agenda and bibliography.	

[Launched in February 2017](#), the Labor WG is a volunteer working group formed to address a need in the field for discussion and guidance on ethical issues related to labor. These issues include the widely acknowledged (in)visibility of labor in libraries (Williams, 2016; Kendrick, 2017) and the multiplicity of labor models used to build, maintain, and execute projects within digital libraries (Dean, 2018; Gregory & Higgins, 2018). Subgroups are Valuing Labor, leading the development of this research agenda, and Contingency & Precarity, which currently focuses on advocating for more humane grant-supported positions.

Each section below identifies a major topic of interest, research questions of pressing need within that topic, and possible projects that contribute to answering these research questions.

## **Organized Labor**

Numerous digital library collections document the history and present of organized labor in North America and beyond (Blake, 2007), and organized labor is one of the most powerful tools available for valuing labor in digital libraries (McCook, 2010; Department for Professional Employees [DPE], AFL-CIO, 2016; Drabinski, 2018). Yet many library workers remain ineligible for union representation, unaware of how to learn about organized labor, or both (McCook, 2010). This research area aims to answer fundamental questions about digital library workers' participation in and awareness of labor organizing, and to map the landscape of potential opportunities and resources.

### **Research questions**

- To what extent and how are digital library workers organized?
- What opportunities and obstacles exist for digital library workers interested in organizing?
- How do digital library workers relate to one another and to other library workers through organizing?

### **Projects**

- Survey members of the field on participation in, awareness of, and attitudes about organized labor.
- Develop a list of unions that represent digital library workers.
- Interview digital library workers who participate in organized labor to better understand how they are introduced to organized labor, their motivations for participation, benefits and challenges of participation, obstacles to participation, and other aspects of their experiences.
- Compile resources for digital library workers interested in organized labor to inform themselves and participate.
- Study similarities and differences in experiences of valuing labor between digital library workers who participate and do not participate in organized labor.

## Valuing Maintenance

Digital library work is frequently associated with narratives about future-thinking and innovation (Dudley, 2017; Glassman, 2017), yet the role of maintenance in sustaining digital projects and programs may be relatively undervalued (Arnold, 2016; Firunts, 2017; Nowvskie & Porter, 2018; Russell & Vinsel, 2016). Visibility and rhetoric strongly influence how digital library maintenance is recognized (Almeida, 2017; Chalmers & Edwards, 2017). What's more, current practices in (under)valuing digital library labor have the potential to maintain themselves through vocational awe (Ettarh, 2018), austerity budgeting (Samek, 2016), contingent positions (Brons, Riley, Yin, & Henninger, 2018; Mink, 2016; Rodriguez, Tillman, & Wickner, 2018), and a steady cycle of worker churn in the field (Scott, Klein & Onovakpuri, 2017). This research focus also seeks to understand how the emotional labor, cooperation, and collaboration that maintain digital libraries are valued (Matteson & Miller, 2012; Walters & Skinner, 2010).

### Research questions

- How is maintenance in/of digital libraries accomplished and by whom?
- What are the short- and long-term impacts of maintenance performed through contingent labor?
- How can maintenance be accomplished other than through contingent labor?
- How is maintenance in/of digital libraries valued differently from other kinds of digital library work?
- How do current labor practices support or undermine maintenance of digital libraries?
- How do digital library practices support or undermine maintenance of labor/ers?
- What is the relationship between innovation and maintenance in digital libraries and how they are respectively valued and recognized?

### Projects

- Research and write guidelines and methods for determining costs of sustainable maintenance in/of digital libraries.
- What is the nature of digital library maintainers' everyday work? How is this work valued, or not?
- Analyze institutional discourse: What values does it express with regard to the maintenance of digital libraries and library labor?
- Interview workers who have left digital libraries to understand their motivations for doing so.
- Research and write guidelines for ethical employment models within specific sectors of digital library work, such as digitization.

## Categories of Labor

In order to determine the value of labor within digital libraries, employers categorize workers in various ways. However, neither these categories nor their impacts on library workers are well

understood, even as some categories of workers are more visible than others and are therefore more valued in terms of credit, compensation, and opportunity. Reliance on free labor is literally institutionalized through guidelines for working with volunteers in archives (Society of American Archivists, 2014), required internships as part of graduate education (SAA Standards Committee, 2014), best practices for crowdsourcing in cultural heritage (CrowdConsortium, 2015), and a culture of service work and emotional labor (Shirazi, 2014; Hathcock, 2016). Factors influencing the classification of labor in digital libraries include skilling and deskilling in information work (Barley, 1988; Kim, Warga, & Moen, 2013; Maceli, 2015; Maceli & Burke, 2016), changing relationships between workers and technology (Morrone, 2018; Popowich, 2018), changes in credentialing (Litwin, 2010; Soutter, 2016), funding models that privilege term over programmatic work (Davis, Mattson, McNally, & Reynolds, 2016), and budgeting priorities that imagine digital libraries as doing more with less (Samek, 2016). Digital library workers' classification may be at odds with their identities, abilities, and aspirations, leading to under- or devaluation. Disparities in visibility and value particularly impact the lives and careers of workers from marginalized and underrepresented populations (Vinopal 2016; Israel & Eyre, 2017). Vocational awe, or “the set of ideas, values, and assumptions librarians have about themselves and the profession that result in beliefs that libraries as institutions are inherently good and sacred, and therefore beyond critique” (Ettarh, 2018), underpins outsized expectations for under-resourced and under-valued digital library workers asked to perform for sheer love of the work.

## Research questions

- What categories of workers exist within digital libraries?
- How are divisions made between the labor of intern, paraprofessional, part-time, contingent, non-tenured/short-term contract, tenured/indefinite contract, outsourced, and management positions?
- How does the precarity of workers' positions affect and reflect the stated goals of digital library organizations, such as diversity and sustainability?
- What is expected of different categories of library workers?
- What are the expectations of different categories of library workers?
- How is professional work identified and distinguished from other kinds of work in digital libraries? What is “other” in this context?
- How is digital library labor gendered, racialized, and otherwise divided?
- How does classification of labor interact with other kinds of classification in digital library work?

## Projects

- Interview digital library workers and managers about existing approaches to classifying labor and the impacts of such approaches.
- Analyze policies and practices used to classify labor in digital libraries: What work do these documents and processes do?

- Research alternative approaches to classifying labor, from disciplines outside of digital libraries: How might they be applicable to our field?
- Compile or develop guidance for classifying labor, such as rubrics that match projects, programs, or tasks to roles within digital libraries.
- Compare categorization of labor across digital library contexts: types of organizations, geographical regions, periods of time, etc.
- Research ways in which digital library workers *classify themselves* (rather than necessarily how they *are classified*).
- Research impacts of automation on the classification of digital library workers

## Transitions & Relationships

This research area explores the experiences and relations of digital library workers at key junctures or during transitions in their careers: entry into the field, entry into management, and moving in and out of precarity. Many workers enter the field of digital libraries as student workers, interns, and/or volunteers, a reality that shapes and reproduces demographics within and access to the field (Galvan, 2015). Students, new graduates, and other early-career library workers carry the impacts of these entry-level experiences with them as they continue in or leave the field (Stuchell, 2011). Digital LAM may learn from emerging guidelines for student-professional collaboration in adjacent fields like digital humanities (Di Pressi, *et al.*, 2015). Workers may also move in and out of managerial or supervisory roles; and the perspectives and experiences of new and middle managers, project managers, coordinators, and outsourcers in digital libraries are underexplored when it comes to their relationships to valuing labor (Cicmil & Hodgson, 2006; Matteson & Miller, 2014; Douglas & Gadsby, 2017). Lastly, digital library workers may enter and leave contingent and precarious positions at various points throughout their careers, a pattern that stems from how labor is conceptualized and valued (Kerslake & Goulding, 1997; Groover, 2014; Wilkinson, 2015; Spence, 2017).

## Research questions

- How is workers' labor valued as they enter the field of digital libraries?
- How do digital library hiring practices for entry-level positions value experience?
- How do workers in transition relate to one another?
- What are the impacts of precarious early-career experiences on digital library workers' careers and lives?
- What impact does reliance on and expectation of free labor have on the relations between workers in digital libraries?
- How do workers' perspectives on and experiences with valuing labor shift as they move between precarious and stable employment?
- How do workers' perspectives on valuing labor shift as they enter management?
- How is labor valued by managers who are not prepared to or do not wish to manage?

## Projects

- Review and synthesize research on managers' perspectives on valuing labor.
- Interview new and mid-career managers about their perspectives on valuing labor, including challenges, obstacles, and opportunities stemming from the transition to management.
- Analyze existing guidance for (middle) managers about valuing labor in digital libraries: What discourses are prevalent in writing and research in the field?

## Institutional Self-Assessment

Assessment—benchmark-setting, data collection, and evaluation to understand the impact of activities—has received a great deal of attention in libraries in general (Bourg, 2013; Seale, Higgins, & Gregory, 2013; Bourg, 2014; Drabinski, 2017; Fisher, 2017; Jones & Salo, 2018), and more recently in archives (Cifor & Lee, 2017) and digital libraries in particular (Marsh, et al., 2016). Within the DLF community, the DLF Digital Library Assessment Interest Group (<https://www.diglib.org/groups/assessment>) has produced a significant number of white papers, guidelines, bibliographies, cost calculators, and other resources for LAM institutions to plan, conduct, and use assessment of their digital collections. By contrast, this research area supports digital library assessment that centers workers rather than collections. It also explores the impacts of institutional assessment practices on the experiences and motivations of digital library workers.

## Research questions

- How do digital library institutions value labor?
- How do they assess their efforts in this area?
- Does an emphasis on quantitative metrics for assessment in neoliberal organizations detract from potentially more meaningful modes of assessment?
- How does digital library assessment contribute to a “prestige economy” (Blackmore & Kandiko, 2011) in academic institutions and the LAM professions?

## Projects

- Research and write guidelines for institutional self-assessment of valuing labor.
- Analyze current policies and practices: What work do these documents, discourses, and practices do?
- Research alternative models from within and outside of the digital LAM field, as well as outside of institutions.
- Compile assessment resources related to other aspects of digital libraries (e.g. digitization output or digital collections use) and analyze their applicability to and implications for valuing labor.



## References

### Introduction

Buchanan, Sarah A., Julia Bullard, William Aspray, Diane Bailey, Lecia Barker, Daniel Carter, Tanya Clement, et al. "Collaborative Syllabus Design for Studying Information Work." *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 54, no. 1 (October 24, 2017): 630–32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pra2.2017.14505401094>.

Dean, Courtney. "Steering Share: Considering Labor Models in Archives Work." *Issues & Advocacy Section of the Society of American Archivists* (blog), February 7, 2018. <https://issuesandadvocacy.wordpress.com/2018/02/07/steering-share-considering-labor-models-in-archives-work>.

Gregory, Lua, and Shana Higgins. "In Resistance to a Capitalist Past: Emerging Practices of Critical Librarianship." In *The Politics of Theory and the Practice of Critical Librarianship*, edited by Karen P. Nicholson and Maura Seale, 21–38. 2018: Library Juice Press, Sacramento. [https://inspire.redlands.edu/oh\\_chapters/61](https://inspire.redlands.edu/oh_chapters/61).

Kendrick, Kaetrena Davis. "The Low Morale Experience of Academic Librarians: A Phenomenological Study." *Journal of Library Administration*, no. 8 (2017): 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2017.1368325>.

Williams, Stacie. "All Labor Is Local." *Medium* (blog), November 12, 2016. <https://medium.com/@Wribrarian/all-labor-is-local-344963e33051>.

— — —. "Implications of Archival Labor." *On Archivy* (blog), April 11, 2016. <https://medium.com/on-archivy/implications-of-archival-labor-b606d8d02014>.

### Organized Labor

ALA-APA. "Data and Research." ALA-APA. <http://ala-apa.org/resources-for-improving-the-salaries-and-status-of-library-workers/>.

ALA-APA Standing Committee on the Salaries and Status of Library Workers. "Pay Equity Bibliography." ALA-APA, August 2013. <http://ala-apa.org/improving-salariesstatus/resources/pay-equity-bibliography/>.

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL–CIO. "Library Workers." American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL–CIO, n.d. <https://www.afscme.org/union/jobs-we-do/library-workers>.

Blake, Ben. "The New Archives for American Labor: From Attic to Digital Shop Floor." *The American Archivist* 70, no. 1 (Spring/Summer 2007): 130–50. <https://doi.org/10.17723/aarc.70.1.0757g7751113520>.

DPE Research Department. "Library Workers: Facts & Figures." Department for Professional Employees, AFL-CIO, 2016. <http://dpeaflcio.org/programs-publications/issue-fact-sheets/library-workers-facts-figures>.

Drabinski, Emily. "Librarians as Labor: Lessons from Past and Present." Presented at the METRO Annual Conference, New York, January 11, 2017.

— — —. "Organizing for Opposition: How to Build Power to Contest Power." Presented at the Libraries in the Context of Capitalism, New York, February 2, 2018.  
<http://www.emilydrabinski.com/libraries-in-the-context-of-capitalism-talk/>.

Livingstone, D. W., and Peter H. Sawchuk. *Hidden Knowledge: Organized Labor in the Information Age*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2004.

McCook, Kathleen de la Peña. "Unions in Public and Academic Libraries." In *Encyclopedia of Library and Information Sciences*, edited by Marcia J. Bates and Mary Niles Maack, 3rd ed., 5337–46, 2009. <http://doi.org/10.1081/E-ELIS3-120043805>.

Mosco, Vincent, and Catherine McKercher. *The Laboring of Communication: Will Knowledge Workers of the World Unite?* Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 2008.

## Valuing Maintenance

Almeida, Nora. "Open Educational Resources and Rhetorical Paradox in the Neoliberal Univers(ity)." *Journal of Critical Library and Information Studies* 1, no. 1 (2017).  
<https://doi.org/10.24242/jclis.v1i1.16>.

Arnold, Hillel. "Critical Work: Archivists as Maintainers." *Hillelarnold.com* (blog), August 2, 2016.  
<http://hillelarnold.com/blog/2016/08/critical-work/>.

Brons, Adena, Chloe Riley, Crystal Yin, and Ean Henninger. "Catalog Cards from the Edge: Precarity in Libraries." Presented at the BC Library Conference, Vancouver, BC, May 10, 2018. <https://osf.io/sqvcm>.

Chalmers, Melissa K., and Paul N. Edwards. "Producing 'One Vast Index': Google Book Search as an Algorithmic System." *Big Data & Society* 4, no. 2 (December 2017): 1–16.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951717716950>.

Dudley, Michael. "Seeing the Forest for the Trees on Mars: Locating the Ideology of the 'Library of the Future.'" *Canadian Journal of Academic Librarianship* 2 (2017): 42–7.  
<https://cjal.ca/index.php/capal/article/view/27560>.

Firunts, Mashinka. "Ecologies of Data Part IV: Data Maintenance: On Ecologies of Labor." *Penn Program in Environment Humanities* (blog), April 16, 2017.  
<http://www.ppehlab.org/blogposts/2017/4/16/ecologies-of-data-part-iv-data-maintenance>

Glassman, Julia. "The Innovation Fetish and Slow Librarianship: What Librarians Can Learn From the Juicero." In *The Library With The Lead Pipe*, October 18, 2017.  
<http://intheibrarywiththeleadpipe.org/2017/the-innovation-fetish-and-slow-librarianship-w-hat-librarians-can-learn-from-the-juicero>.

- Matteson, Miriam L., and Shelly S. Miller. "Emotional Labor in Librarianship: A Research Agenda." *Library & Information Science Research* 34, no. 3 (July 2012): 176–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2012.02.003>.
- Mink, Meredith Beck. *An Assessment of the National Digital Stewardship Residencies, 2013–2016*. Washington, DC: Council on Library and Information Resources, December 2016. <https://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub173>.
- Moravec, Michelle. "Feminist Research Practices and Digital Archives." *Australian Feminist Studies* 32, no. 91–92 (2017): 186–201. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08164649.2017.1357006>.
- Nowwiskie, Bethany, and Dot Porter. "The Graceful Degradation Survey: Managing Digital Humanities Projects Through Times of Transition and Decline." *Digital Humanities*, 2010. <http://dh2010.cch.kcl.ac.uk/academic-programme/abstracts/papers/html/ab-722.html>.
- Rodriguez, Sandy, Ruth Kitchin Tillman, and Amy Wickner. "Reproducing Contingency: Grant-Funded Labor in Digital Libraries." Presented at Libraries in the Context of Capitalism, New York, February 2, 2018. <https://youtu.be/iM6hBM9FWOk>.
- Russell, Andrew, and Lee Vinsel. "Hail the Maintainers." *Aeon* (blog), April 7, 2016. <https://aeon.co/essays/innovation-is-overvalued-maintenance-often-matters-more>.
- Samek, Toni. "Crisis Talk." In *Class and Librarianship: Essays at the Intersection of Information, Labor and Capital*, edited by Erik Estep and Nathaniel Enright, 103–28. Sacramento, CA: Library Juice Press, 2016.
- Scott, Allison, Freada Kapor Klein, and Urirdiakoghene Onovakpuri. *Tech Leavers Study*. Oakland, CA: Kapor Center for Social Impact, April 27, 2017. <https://www.kaporcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/TechLeavers2017.pdf>.
- Walters, Tyler O., and Katherine Skinner. "Economics, Sustainability, and the Cooperative Model in Digital Preservation." *Library Hi Tech* 28, no. 2 (2010): 259–72. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831011047668>.

## Categories of Labor

- Barley, Stephen R. "Technology, Power, and the Social Organization of Work: Towards a Pragmatic Theory of Skilling and Deskillling." *Research in the Sociology of Organizations* 6 (1988): 33–80.
- Davis, Rosemary K. J., Rachel Mattson, Elliot McNally, and Alison Reynolds. "Grant Cycles, Deadlines, and Labor Advocacy: The Changing Work of Project Archivists." Presented at the Mid-Atlantic Regional Archives Conference, Pittsburgh, PA, April 15, 2016. <http://hdl.handle.net/1903/18102>.
- Ettarh, Fobazi. "Vocational Awe and Librarianship: The Lies We Tell Ourselves." *In the Library with the Lead Pipe*, January 10, 2018. <http://inthelibrarywiththeleadpipe.org/2018/vocational-awe/>.

Hathcock, April. "Let Labor Be Labor." *At the Intersection* (blog), May 12, 2016.

<https://aprilhathcock.wordpress.com/2016/05/12/let-labor-be-labor/>.

Kim, Jeonghyun, Edward Warga, and William Moen. "Competencies Required for Digital Curation: An Analysis of Job Advertisements." *International Journal of Digital Curation* 8, no. 1 (June 2013): 66–83. <https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v8i1.242>.

Israel, Robin H., and Jodi Reeves Eyre. *The 2017 WARs/SAA Salary Survey: Initial Results and Analysis*. SAA Women Archivists Section, 2017.

[https://www2.archivists.org/sites/all/files/WARs\\_Salary\\_Survey\\_Report.pdf](https://www2.archivists.org/sites/all/files/WARs_Salary_Survey_Report.pdf).

Litwin, Rory. "The Library Paraprofessional Movement and the Deprofessionalization of Librarianship." *Progressive Librarian*, no. 33 (Summer/Fall 2009): 43–60.

<http://www.progressivelibrariansguild.org/PL/PL33/043.pdf>.

Maceli, Monica. "What Technology Skills Do Developers Need? A Text Analysis of Job Listings in Library and Information Science (LIS) from Jobs.Code4lib.Org." *Information Technology and Libraries* 34, no. 3 (September 2015): 8–21. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ital.v34i3.5893>.

Maceli, Monica, and John J. Burke. "Technology Skills in the Workplace: Information Professionals' Current Use and Future Aspirations." *Information Technology and Libraries* 35, no. 4 (December 2016): 35–62. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ital.v35i4.9540>.

Morrone, Melissa, ed. *Human Operators: A Critical Oral History on Technology in Libraries and Archives*. Sacramento, CA: Library Juice Press, 2018.

Popowich, Sam. "'Ruthless Criticism of All That Exists': Marxism, Technology, and Library Work." In *The Politics of Theory and the Practice of Critical Librarianship*, edited by Karen P. Nicholson and Maura Seale, 39–66. 2018: Library Juice Press, Sacramento.

<https://doi.org/10.7939/R3RF5KV47>.

Shirazi, Roxanne. "Reproducing the Academy: Librarians and the Question of Service in the Digital Humanities." *Roxanne Shirazi* (blog), July 15, 2014.

<https://roxanneshirazi.com/2014/07/15/reproducing-the-academy-librarians-and-the-question-of-service-in-the-digital-humanities>.

Soutter, Jennifer Lyn. "The Core Competencies for 21st Century CARL (Canadian Association of Research Libraries) Librarians: Through a Neoliberal Lens." *Journal of Radical Librarianship* 2 (2016): 34–67.

<https://journal.radicalibrarianship.org/index.php/journal/article/view/10>.

Vinopal, Jennifer. "The Quest for Diversity in Library Staffing: From Awareness to Action." In *The Library With The Lead Pipe*, January 13, 2016.

<http://inthelibrarywiththeleadpipe.org/2016/quest-for-diversity/>.

## Workers in Transition

Cicmil, Svetlana, and Damian Hodgson. "New Possibilities for Project Management Theory: A Critical Engagement." *Project Management Journal* 37, no. 3 (2006): 111–122.

<https://www.pmi.org/learning/library/project-management-theory-critical-engagement-5547>.

Di Pressi, Haley, Stephanie Gorman, Miriam Posner, and Raphael Sasayama. "A Student Collaborators' Bill of Rights." UCLA Center for Digital Humanities, June 8, 2015. <http://www.cdh.ucla.edu/news-events/a-student-collaborators-bill-of-rights>.

Douglas, Veronica Arellano, and Joanna Gadsby. "Gendered Labor and Library Instruction Coordinators: The Undervaluing of Feminized Work." In *ACRL 2017 Conference Proceedings: At the Helm: Leading Transformation*, 266–74. Chicago: Association of College and Research Libraries, 2017. <http://www.ala.org/acrl/sites/ala.org.acrl/files/content/conferences/confsandpreconfs/2017/GenderedLaborandLibraryInstructionCoordinators.pdf>.

Galvan, Angela. "Soliciting Performance, Hiding Bias: Whiteness and Librarianship." *In the Library with the Lead Pipe*, June 3, 2015. <http://www.inthelibrarywiththeleadpipe.org/2015/soliciting-performance-hiding-bias-whiteness-and-librarianship>.

Groover, Myron. "On Precarity." *Bibliocracy* (blog), January 6, 2014. <http://bibliocracy-now.tumblr.com/post/72506786815/on-precarity>.

Kerslake, Evelyn, and Anne Goulding. "Current Practice in Training Flexible Information Workers." *Library Management* 18, no. 3 (1997): 135–47. <https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/01435129710166455>.

Matteson, Miriam L., and Shelly S. Miller. "What Library Managers Should Know about Emotional Labor." *Public Library Quarterly* 33, no. 2 (2014): 95–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01616846.2014.910720>.

Spence, Annie. "On the Perilous Plight of the Part-Time Librarian." *Literary Hub* (blog), December 13, 2017. <https://lithub.com/on-the-perilous-plight-of-the-part-time-librarian>.

Stuchell, Lance. "Wanted, Free Labor: The Impact and Ethics of Unpaid Work." *New Archivist* (blog), November 17, 2011. <https://newarchivist.com/2011/11/17/free-labor>.

Wilkinson, Zara T. "A Human Resources Dilemma? Emergent Themes in the Experiences of Part-Time Librarians." *Journal of Library Administration* 55, no. 5 (2015): 343–361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2015.1047253>.

## **Institutional Self-Assessment**

Blackmore, Paul, and Camille B. Kandiko. "Motivation in Academic Life: A Prestige Economy." *Research in Post-Compulsory Education* 16, no. 4 (2018): 399–411. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13596748.2011.626971>.

Bourg, Chris. "Beyond Measure: Valuing Libraries." *Feral Librarian* (blog), May 19, 2013. <https://chrisbourg.wordpress.com/2014/01/16/the-neoliberal-library-resistance-is-not-futile>.

- — —. “The Neoliberal Library: Resistance Is Not Futile.” *Feral Librarian* (blog), January 16, 2014. <https://chrisbourg.wordpress.com/2014/01/16/the-neoliberal-library-resistance-is-not-futile>.
- Cifor, Marika, and Jamie A. Lee. “Towards an Archival Critique: Opening Possibilities for Addressing Neoliberalism in the Archival Field.” *Journal of Critical Library and Information Studies*, no. 1 (January 2017). <https://doi.org/10.24242/jclis.v1i1.10>.
- Drabinski, Emily. “How Do We Change the Table?” *Emily Drabinski* (blog), November 5, 2017. <http://www.emilydrabinski.com/how-do-we-change-the-table>.
- Fisher, Zoe. “Libraries & Learning Analytics: A Brief History.” *Quick Ask Zoe* (blog), November 10, 2017. <https://quickaskzoe.com/2017/11/10/libraries-learning-analytics-a-brief-history>.
- Jones, Kyle M.L., and Dorothea Salo. “Learning Analytics and the Academic Library: Professional Ethics Commitments at a Crossroads.” *College & Research Libraries* 79, no. 3 (2018): 304–323. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.79.3.304>.
- Marsh, Diana E., Ricardo L. Punzalan, Robert Leopold, Brian Butler, and Massimo Petrozzi. “Stories of Impact: The Role of Narrative in Understanding the Value and Impact of Digital Collections.” *Archival Science* 16, no. 4 (2016): 327–372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10502-015-9253-5>.
- Seale, Maura. “The Neoliberal Library.” In *Information Literacy and Social Justice: Radical Professional Praxis*, edited by Shana Higgins, and Lua Gregory, 39–61. Sacramento, CA: Library Juice Press, 2013. <http://hdl.handle.net/10760/20497>.

## Best Practices & Guidelines

- Di Pressi, Haley, Stephanie Gorman, Miriam Posner, and Raphael Sasayama. “A Student Collaborators’ Bill of Rights.” UCLA Center for Digital Humanities, June 8, 2015. <http://www.cdh.ucla.edu/news-events/a-student-collaborators-bill-of-rights>.
- National Council on Public History Curriculum and Training Committee. “Best Practices for Internships as a Component of Graduate Archival Education.” Society of American Archivists, 2008. <https://www2.archivists.org/sites/all/files/BestPract-Internships.pdf>.
- “National Meeting - Engaging the Public: Best Practices for Crowdsourcing Across the Disciplines.” Crowd Consortium, May 6, 2015. <http://www.crowdconsortium.org/national-meeting-engaging-the-public>.
- SAA Council. “Best Practices for Volunteers in Archives.” Society of American Archivists, June 2014. <https://www2.archivists.org/sites/all/files/BestPract-Volunteers.pdf>.
- Silberman, M. Six, Bill Tomlinson, Rochelle LaPlante, Joel Ross, Lilly Irani, and Andrew Zaldivar. “Responsible Research with Crowds: Pay Crowdworkers at Least Minimum Wage.” *Communications of the ACM* 61, no. 3 (March 2018): 39–41. <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3180492>.